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**Figures 1-4.** Life stages of the forest tent caterpillar, *Malacosoma disstria* Hübner: 1) mature larvae, 2) pupal cocoon, 3) adult moth and 4) egg mass. Photography credits: Charlie Chellman, (Fig. 1) Gerald Lenhard, (Fig. 2) Jeff Lotz, (Fig. 3 - 4)

**INTRODUCTION:** The forest tent caterpillar (FTC), *Malacosoma disstria* Hübner, is the most widely distributed indigenous tent caterpillar in North America (Furniss and Carolin 1977). FTC has been recognized as an important defoliator of a wide variety of deciduous hardwood trees throughout its range for many years (Batzer and Morris 1978). Although this univoltine insect is called a tent caterpillar, it is unlike other *Malacosoma* species in that the larvae do not construct tents. Instead, FTC spin silken mats on the trunks and large branches where they congregate to molt or rest from feeding. Larvae also deposit silk in strands along which they travel to and from feeding sites. The caterpillars themselves are relatively harmless to people (i.e., they do not bite or sting) although a few people have an allergic reaction to handling them. Populations of FTC occasionally or periodically attain outbreak proportions. During outbreaks, enormous numbers of caterpillars cause widespread and extensive defoliation of host trees, and may create an overwhelming nuisance to people encountering them. Except in southwest Alabama and southern Louisiana where abundant populations recur annually on water tupelo, *Nyssa aquatica* L. (Batzer and Morris 1978), FTC is elsewhere considered as one of the cyclical outbreak species among forest Lepidoptera (Myers 1993). Populations of FTC characteristically fluctuate between extremes on a somewhat regular schedule, typically increasing to outbreak proportions every 6-16 years (Myers 1993; USDA Forest Service 1996). Outbreaks usually subside after 2 to 4 years of heavy defoliation, but have persisted for up to 6 years (USDA Forest Service 1996). Seldom are trees killed during
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such outbreaks and where tree mortality has occurred, it usually has not been substantial (Anderson 1960). Severe and repeated defoliation can, however, lead to dieback and/or reduced growth of affected trees, which in some instances may be significant (Drooz 1985). One of the earliest documented FTC outbreaks in Florida occurred in Citrus and Marion counties during the Aprils of 1965 through 1967. The outbreak was noted as widespread (ca. 30,000 ac), causing very heavy defoliation of turkey oaks (Quercus laevis Walter) and a "great problem" due to the abundance of crawling caterpillars. Other large FTC outbreaks in Florida have been recorded in Pasco Co. (1967; ca 2,000 affected acres; primary host turkey oak), Citrus and Hernando counties (1969; ca 10,000 ac of the Withlacoochee State Forest; turkey oak), Hernando, Marion and Pasco counties (late March to April 1977; ca. 25,000 acres; turkey oak), and Hernando, Lafayette, Levy and Pasco counties (1988; Quercus spp.) (FDACS-DPI and DOF records). More recently, outbreak populations of FTC and its associated problems have reached unprecedented heights in West Central Florida. Noticeable levels of defoliation were first reported in portions of Polk and Hillsborough counties on water oak (Quercus nigra L.), laurel oak (Q. laurifolia Michaux), and live oak (Q. virginiana Miller), during the spring of 1993. Outbreaks of FTC have since developed on the same hosts in many of the surrounding areas of Citrus, DeSoto, Hardee, Hernando, Highlands, Lake, Manatee, Pasco, Pinellas, Orange, Osceola, and Sarasota counties. The extent, magnitude and impact of this outbreak reached pronounced levels in 1995 and, in general, escalated to alarming proportions in 1997. Noticeable declines in FTC populations were, however, recently evident at some locales where caterpillars had been abundant for 3-4 years. Although no tree mortality has occurred as a direct result of defoliation, the sheer number of caterpillars, their frass, and the subsequent populations of adult moths have caused numerous problems for people, particularly in urban environments.

DESCRIPTION: Larvae have a dark-gray to brownish-black background body color, highlighted by broad, pale-blue lines and thin, broken yellow lines extending along each side (Fig. 1). On the dorsum of each abdominal segment is a distinct whitish keyhole or shoeprint-shaped marking. Larvae are also somewhat hairy, the setae being fine, whitish in color, and sparsely distributed. Mature larvae are 2-2.5 in. (50-64 mm) in length. Dixon and Foltz (1991) provide color photos and comparisons with other common or important forest caterpillars. Pupation occurs in a pale-yellow, loosely spun silken cocoon (Fig. 2). The stout-bodied adult moths are tan to buff-brown in color, with two darker, thin parallel lines extending across the mid-portion of each forewing, the area between often being dark and appearing as a single, broad, dark band (Fig. 3). The wingspread ranges from 1-1 3/4 in. (25-45 mm). Eggs occur in masses of 100-350, forming bands up to 1 in. (25mm) in length that encircle small diameter twigs. Egg masses are coated with a dark-brown, frothy, cement-like substance called spumaline (Fig. 4) (Anderson 1960; Drooz 1985).

DISTRIBUTION: The FTC is found throughout most of the United States and southern Canada, but is more common east of the Mississippi River. Its occurrence roughly corresponds to the ranges of its host trees. Records of FDACS-DPI and DOF have reported FTC in the following counties: Alachua, Baker, Bay, Citrus, Dade, DeSoto, Duval, Hardee, Hernando, Highlands, Hillsborough, Indian River, Lafayette, Lake, Leon, Levy, Liberty, Madison, Manatee, Marion, Orange, Osceola, Pasco, Pinellas, Polk, Sarasota, Sumter, Taylor and Volusia. Despite no known records of FTC in 38 of Florida's 67 counties, FTC probably occurs, or could exist, virtually anywhere in Florida, given the statewide distribution of known host trees.

HOST PLANTS: In the southern United States the preferred hosts which are most heavily defoliated include various species of oaks (Quercus spp.) and gums (Nyssa spp. and Liquidambar styraciflua L.). Other common or occasional hosts in the South include basswood (Tilia americana L.), cherry (Prunus spp.) and plum (Prunus spp.). In the northern and western U.S. and Canada, trembling aspen (Populus tremuloides Michx.) is the preferred host. Large larvae during outbreaks will, however, feed on a wide variety of deciduous hardwood trees and some unusual hosts including conifers. For example, during the recent outbreak in West Central Florida, FTC were observed feeding on virtually all sorts of woody plant foliage, some of which included citrus (Citrus sp.), pine (Pinus sp.), loquat (Eriobotrya japonica Lindl.), azalea (Rhododendron sp.), and rose (Rosa spp.). If otherwise healthy, the common host trees will typically refoliate within a few weeks, following the springtime defoliation.

BIOLOGY: The FTC has only one generation per year throughout its range. Overwintering larvae within egg masses begin emerging in early spring concurrent with the swelling and expanding of buds on host trees. In Florida, mass
from outdoor activities during times and at places where FfC are abundant. Other means of avoidance include not
there are some measures which may provide some relief or reduce the impact when populations reach unacceptable
Beyond simply learning to accept and tolerate the inevitable encounters and defoliation during these cyclical outbreaks,
practical way to prevent adult moths from locating host trees and depositing the egg masses of next years caterpillars.
with an insecticide, caterpillars will often readily migrate in from surrounding untreated properties. There is also no
seriously devastating impacts to host trees, and areawide control efforts are seemingly cost prohibitive, potentially futile
and, most importantly, unwarranted. At a residential level, even if successful caterpillar control is temporarily achieved
in the family Mermethidae. Other known predators include frogs, mice, skunks and over 60 species of birds (Witter
Hemiptera, 9 Coleoptera and 1 Dermentera that are predators of various life stages (Witter and Kuhlman 1972). At least
18 species of the parasitic insects have been recorded in Florida (Frank and Foltz 1997), as well as a parasitic nematode
in the family Mermethidae. Other known predators include frogs, mice, skunks and over 60 species of birds (Witter
Bird predation of late-instar and pupal stage FTC has recently been demonstrated to cause overwhelming mortality of populations at all densities in an artificial setting, and is hypothesized as the principle regulator of low density populations between outbreaks (Parry et al. 1997). Forest tent caterpillars are also susceptible to a variety of diseases that may be caused by viral, fungal, protozoan or bacterial organisms, occurring alone or in combination naturally. All four types of disease have been diagnosed in FTC larvae from Florida (D.G. Boucias, personal communication). Epidemic proportions of a nuclear polyhedrus virus (NPV) have often been observed killing enormous numbers of caterpillars a few years after the beginning and during the decline of FTC outbreaks. Caterpillars killed by NPV are characteristically found hanging in place from their midsection, appearing like an inverted "V". Infected larvae may also appear sluggish, and upon dying, turn darker in color and wilt. This and other host specific NPV's have recently been suggested as the driving force responsible for regulating the cyclical populations of tent caterpillars (Myers 1993). The host specificity, environmental safety, and effectiveness of the NPV make it an excellent candidate for a potential bioinsecticide in the future, but currently this work is not being pursued (Frank and Foltz 1997; Myers 1993).

CONTROL RECOMMENDATIONS: Outbreaks or the regular population cycles of FTC are apparently extremely
difficult to manipulate or control (Myers 1993). Couple this with the fact that repeated outbreaks have not caused any

The young larvae are gregarious and initially feed together on the expanding buds, foliage, and flowers. As FTC
develop through five larval instars, they eventually devour entire leaves. Late instar larvae tend to wander, individually
traveling within, among or out of host trees, either in search of additional food or a place to pupate. It is during the 2-6
weeks when caterpillars are noticeably present, particularly when they are approaching maturity, that the resulting
circumstances create adverse situations for people, i.e., unpleasant encounters with caterpillars and their droppings. The
pale-yellow pupal cocoons are variously located amongst webbed leaves, bark crevices, shrubbery and other somewhat
protective places such as on the sides and under overhangs of buildings. Pupation takes 10-14 days, after which the
adult moths emerge, mate and females oviposit egg masses on host trees. Although adult moths do not feed and live
for only 2-10 days, they can create considerable nuisances when congregating in abundance around nighttime lighting.
In Florida, adults may be expected to emerge sometime between April and late-May, but have been collected as early
as February (J.B. Heppner, personal communication). Within egg masses, pharate larvae develop by fall and diapause
through winter until emerging the following spring to begin the cycle again.

NATURAL CONTROLS: A wide variety of factors have been implicated in causing population declines, including
several adverse environmental conditions. High levels of larval mortality have been associated with relatively low
temperatures in the winter and spring (such as a late or hard freeze following larval emergence) and harsh weather when
early instars are abundant. Harsh weather and extremely high temperatures may kill numerous adults later in the spring,
and also reduce mating success and viability of offspring amongst survivors. Outbreak populations may also decline or
collapse as a result of starvation, when larvae exhaust food supplies (i.e., host foliage) before completing
development (Drooz 1985). Natural enemies such as parasites, predators, and diseases may also exert important
regulatory effects on FTC populations. Some natural enemies are often extremely abundant during the later stages of
outbreaks (Drooz 1985). The documented natural enemies of tent caterpillars are numerous, including 14 species of
Hymenoptera egg parasites, 52 Diptera and 61 Hymenoptera species parasitic of larval and pupal stages, and 18
Hemiptera, 9 Coleoptera and 1 Dermoptera that are predators of various life stages (Witter and Kuhlman 1972). At least
18 species of the parasitic insects have been recorded in Florida (Frank and Foltz 1997), as well as a parasitic nematode
in the family Mermethidae. Other known predators include frogs, mice, skunks and over 60 species of birds (Witter
and Kuhlman 1972). Bird predation of late-instar and pupal stage FTC has recently been demonstrated to cause
overwhelming mortality of populations at all densities in an artificial setting, and is hypothesized as the principle
regulator of low density populations between outbreaks (Parry et al. 1997). Forest tent caterpillars are also susceptible
to a variety of diseases that may be caused by viral, fungal, protozoan or bacterial organisms, occurring alone or in
combination naturally. All four types of disease have been diagnosed in FTC larvae from Florida (D.G. Boucias,
personal communication). Epidemic proportions of a nuclear polyhedrus virus (NPV) have often been observed killing
enormous numbers of caterpillars a few years after the beginning and during the decline of FTC outbreaks. Caterpillars
killed by NPV are characteristically found hanging in place from their midsection, appearing like an inverted "V". Infected larvae may also appear sluggish, and upon dying, turn darker in color and wilt. This and other host specific NPV's have recently been suggested as the driving force responsible for regulating the cyclical populations of tent caterpillars (Myers 1993). The host specificity, environmental safety, and effectiveness of the NPV make it an excellent candidate for a potential bioinsecticide in the future, but currently this work is not being pursued (Frank and Foltz 1997; Myers 1993).
parking under infested trees, keeping unnecessary outdoor lighting off when adults are present, and keeping windows and doors closed or tightly screened. Populations of FTC and resulting defoliation can be temporarily reduced by various methods of direct control. However, the following direct control measures are only recommended for cases where tree health is jeopardized by defoliation or the nuisance of FTC is intolerable. A preventive and least toxic approach is to prune out and destroy all twigs bearing egg masses prior to caterpillar emergence. This is best accomplished in the winter after most of the leaves have fallen and egg masses are readily visible. Just prior to or following caterpillar emergence, tree trunks can be banded with a stickem, such as Tanglefoot®, which will trap wandering caterpillars and prevent them from ascending and descending trees, thereby restricting their movements and reducing their numbers. The caterpillars can also be killed with any number of insecticides registered for such use. When using an insecticide preferably target young caterpillars before they begin widespread wandering and prior to problems with frass and defoliation becoming evident. Insecticides containing Bacillus thuringiensis (a bacterium) or diflubenzuron (an insect growth regulator) as the active ingredient are only toxic (at label rates) to immature insects and then only through ingestion. These materials are therefore likely to be much less harmful to non-target organisms than conventional insecticides. Despite the relative specificity and effectiveness of Bacillus thuringiensis and diflubenzuron insecticides, both are relatively slow-acting and can have negative effects on non-target caterpillars, such as butterflies. Consult your local county agricultural extension office for current insecticide recommendations. If choosing to use insecticides, carefully read and follow label directions. During and after episodes of severe defoliation, promote tree health and vigor to aid its recovery. One direct control measure worth avoiding includes attempts to brush off, squash or smash caterpillars and pupae. This approach has little or no impact on populations and most often results in a very difficult to remove stain.
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